

RONALD C FEDUS

9TH FLOOR

ENZO BIOCHEMICAL INC.

527 MADISON AVENUE

NEW YORK NY 10022

UNITED STATES D. ARTMENT OF COMMERCI

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

HM12/1219

EXAMINER

SCHMIDT, M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1635

DATE MAILED:

12/19/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Applicant(s), A ()
Office Action Summary Examiner	Applicant(s), Rabbani et al, Group Art Unit 1035
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sheet beneath the correspondence address—
eriod for Response	3
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause to 	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely
Status 12 / /22	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/5/00	
RTIThic action is MINAL.	and the marks is alread in
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453	ers, prosecution as to the ments is closed in O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	ic/are pending in the application.
10 Claim(s) 1-24 & 245	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above classif(3)	A DE LA
□ Claim(s) 1-24 & 245	is/are allowed.
S Claim(s) (-14 & 14)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	requirement.
Application Papers	2040
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC)-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ a	examiner
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the E	
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	. § 11 9(a)-(d).
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority do 	cuments have been
 □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	
☐ received in Application No. (ceries Godd/Gentarrational) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Burn	eau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Office Action Sun	nmary

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/978,632

Art Unit: 1635

DETAILED ACTION

The request filed on 12/5/00 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 1. CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/978,632 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- Claims 1-24 and 245 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing 2. subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Official action mailed 02/03/99 and 11/08/99.
- Claims 1-24 and 245 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing 3. subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Official action mailed 02/03/99 and 11/08/99.

Application/Control Number: 08/978,632

Art Unit: 1635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyer et al. for the same reasons of record as set forth in the Official action mailed 02/03/99 and 11/08/99.

This is a CPA of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/978,632. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 08/978,632

Art Unit: 1635

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to *Mary M. Schmidt*, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4471.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *John LeGuyader*, may be reached at (703) 308-0447.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Analyst, *Katrina Turner*, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3413.

M. M. Schmidt December 14, 2000 REMY YUCEL, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER